Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/597,866	HELLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Murphy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 February 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 58, 59, 61, 69 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief success 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
M		
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Murphy to discuss claim amendments to put the claim in condition for allowance. The amendments included canceling Claim 58 because it does not further limit Claim 56; amending Claims 59 and 61 to depend from Claim 56; and amending Claim 69 to exclude "the fluid system further comprising a flow cell" because it does not further define Claim 56. The examiner also suggested amending the Abstract because, as written, it is too long and amending the first paragraph of the specification to include the patent number of the parent. Mr. Murphy agreed to the amendments. The amendments will be made by examiner's amendment.:

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.